

DRAFT 2 Recommendations for Board Of Trustees
from IGA Task Force Member Charlie Stevenson (with Confluence neighbor edits/review)

These 6 recommendations are meant to broadly represent the priorities of the confluence citizens and renters, and general profile of a Lyons citizen.

Four Overall Recommendations to BoT On Development and IGA Process

1. Communicate to the town that the BoT will think creatively to ensure that only cool, intentional and aligned projects will be pursued with any future development of any parcel in the town, avoiding characterless sprawl and environmental/rural degradation that isn't in the interest of our citizens and town culture.
2. Clarify that the priority of the BoT/town is to address the housing needs of the population by FIRST finding and accelerating appropriate infill development of the necessary housing units in the existing town boundaries and on currently blighted downtown properties, and in the downtown core BEFORE seeking development of housing units elsewhere or in the parcels identified in the current draft of the IGA.
3. Communicate to citizens that while the State has been clear and forceful about the policies they want to see municipalities adopt, we understand the typical Lyons resident and voter has the opinion that local control is more likely to achieve the cultural outcomes the community wants, and what is best for its own interests. State guidance is being reviewed carefully, and the BoT and Task Force are focusing on what Lyons needs to do *differently*, to maintain the uniqueness and features that make it so special.
4. Before finishing the IGA draft, adopt and utilize a simple, intentional and transparent assessment process to determine if parcels are a fit to be considered for any type of development that considers the Lyons Thrive Comprehensive Plan and known needs of the greater community outlined in the 4 points below:
 - a. Would development of the parcel ecologically impact the area or impact the rural and natural characteristics of our community? (drainage, wildlife corridors, viewshed from valley floor, rural and natural "feel") If YES to ANY, remove parcel.
 - b. Would development of the parcel create fire/flood hazard or unnecessary risk to the new or existing neighborhoods? If YES to ANY, remove parcel.
 - c. Will development of this parcel make meaningful impact for the town's housing and attainable housing goals (150-300 new housing units by 2035) so that rental prices are maintained/decreased and housing prices are affordably stabilized or decreased? If YES, add the parcel (of course, considering the first two points a/b in this process first)
 - d. Did we notify the community and transparently share this process early stage and its final results in a timely manner, so that we (BoT/town leadership) are held accountable to this intentional process and trust is maintained in the town leadership? If NO, start process over, including this messaging.

- i. This notification/accountability mechanism needs to be further discussed and developed.

Three Recommendations to EDIT the 2024 IGA Draft

- 5. Ensure the overall language that aligned the Original 2012 IGA with the Lyons Thrive Comprehensive plan is included/preserved:**
 - a. Include the 2-3 sentences the task force identified that preserve ecology and character of the town (stripping out the “urbanization potential” language”)**
 - i. “To plan for and regulate land use in order to minimize negative impacts on surrounding areas and to protect the environment.”
 - ii. “Parties intent is to preserve the rural quality of the land.”
 - iii. “To preserve Lyons’ unique and individual character through the orderly development”
- 6. Remove the parcel-specific zoning and density requirements, and include some broad language that applies to all parcels in the IGA that establishes that the annexation and potential development needs to be in line with the current growth needs of the town, whether it be for affordable housing, conservation of land, other commercial zoning or utility infrastructure development, etc. Density considerations would then follow and be based upon the actual capabilities of the parcel and the appropriateness.**
- 7. Specific Parcel Recommendations**
 - a. Remove any parcel that doesn't meet the common sense criteria outlined in Recommendation #2 above.
 - b. Include any parcel for potential annexation that does meet the criteria outlined in Recommendation #2 above.
 - c. If there are other parcels in town that would meet the criteria above, include them in the draft:
 - i. Lyon’s Dog Park could be re-included IF concessions for an additional dog park(s) is created elsewhere AND/OR some of the trail systems are opened to on-leash dogs.