

## **Difference in 2012 vs Draft 2024 IGA V1 DM**

**1) Purpose of IGA** – The wording used to define goals changes the focus of 2012 IGA vs the 2024 IGA as follows:

Section Recitals, Pg 1 (first paragraph) 2012 IGA: “... to plan for and regulate land use in order to minimize negative impacts on surrounding areas and to protect the environment.”

Recitals, Pg 1 bottom (7<sup>th</sup> section) states “...to preserve Lyons’ unique and individual character through the orderly development within a newly defined Lyons Planning Area (the LPA).

Section 1.2, Pg 2 related to urban development in LPA “...avoid sprawl”

NOTE: Sprawl defined by Websters: “the spreading of urban development on undeveloped land near a more or less populated city”

The remainder of section 1 (1.1 – 1.6) of 2013 plan outlines the key requirements including community buffer, view corridors, etc.

2024 Draft IGA section A, C, E replace section 1 of 2012 IGA but was augmented by expanded definitions in section C to state “development plan that recognizes the urbanization potential of certain land in the county near Lyons and the rural character of adjacent land.”

Note: Urbanization defined by Websters: “to cause to take on urban characteristics”; “to impart an urban way of life (to urbanize migrants from rural areas)”

**2) Economic Development** – Focus on a “commercially-based” economy was removed in 2024 draft

Section 1.1.1. pg. 2 of 2012 IGA: “...must transition from a residential development-based economy to a commercially-based economy...”

Mix Use: This section goes on to define and encourage use of Mix-use development to concentrate any significant additional housing development....” (NOT included in 2024 Draft). Further, section 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4 add clarity to the balance of such development with community character, historical preservation and property owners rights.

- Suggest we bring this back which will drive Mix-Use development as that is the only way to lower commercial rental prices while at the same time, adding urban, lower cost housing options.

2024 Draft (section E) does “encourage the natural and well-ordered development in Lyons” (but without the clarity offered in 2012 section 1.1).

**3) Maintain Community Buffer:**

Section 1.3 Pg 3 of 2012 IGA: “This IGA is intended to keep the LIA [Lyons Interest Area] / RPA [Rural Preservation Area] and the land outside the LPA rural in character to preserve a community buffer.”

Comp Plan and 2024 Draft IGA (page 2) map, several of the area as RPA are now defined as PPA and density definitions were added that increase density significantly over prior plans / maps. Definition of Low/Medium = 12 households per acre (min 6, max 12) – which is approx. twice to three times current density around these areas, Medium/High Density 3r4= 16 HH per acre (min 12, max 16)

**4) AA/Senior Housing:** other than density number, 2024 draft does not clearly define housing goals for AA/Senior housing.

Section 10.1.5, Pg 7 of 2012 IGA: “Identify & implement programs to enhance opportunities for senior housing and affordable housing within the Town and in the LPA.”

**5) Map Changes** – Review changes in map from 2012 to 2023 Comp Plan and then to the new Draft 2024 IGA

- Rural Preservation Areas [RPA] in 2024 draft = land “where Lyons may not annex parcels and where the town or the county may purchase land for open space preservation”. Review what has changed

- Map inconsistent with 2023 Lyons Thrive Comprehensive plan – why?

**6) Density** - The addition of density to 2024 plan (page 2) -- It is good that these density details have been added to specific parcels as it offers clarity. The question is are the density measures assigned correct (consistent, economically feasible, appropriate related to risk factors, etc.) for each of the properties in questions.

**7) 5-Acre Rule:**

Section 3.1.1 Pg3, 2012 IGA “...town agrees that it will only annex parcels in their entirety, not portions of parcels, into the town....”

Section 3, page3-4 Draft 2024 IGA - Several parcels included in the Draft 2024 map show that only part of the property are targeted for annexation / development with the balance of the land being reverted back to BC as non-confirming. Also there is a possibility that a land could be sub-divided in advance so that only less than 5 acres would be annexed (avoiding a vote by citizens)

**Good Things in 2024 IGA Draft:**

- 1) Section 8 (Partnerships) outline goals consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and more specifically outlines area of focus such as affordable housing, grant processing, improved work with Regional Transportation authority, etc.

- 2) Section 15 on auto renewal a good add (so we are not “forced” to update after 10 years unless the community wants to do so)
- 3) Section 9-14 boiler plate