

# Town of Lyons, IGA TASK FORCE

## IGA Task Force Discussion Notes

### Pro/Con for each parcel in the Draft IGA

By Douglas Matthews 9-Jun-24

#### **EAST CORIDOR (East of 36/66 intersection, N/S side of 66)**

##### **PROS**

- Has strong commercial AND residential (mixed use) potential
- Prime access to roads (i.e. close to mass transit, easy access to essential services, etc.)
- “Green Field” development opportunity (per Bowen) – easiest and most economical area for development
- Close (easy) access to utilities, within blue-line, etc.
- Health & Safety - Outside floodplain (safe), lower fire risk, easy access/egress (evacuation)
- Wildlife – limited impact on wildlife corridor due to topography of the land behind (to north)
- Does not impact sight lines (although is important as visual entrance to town)
- Could improve look / feel of the current mix of buildings
- Could tie into additional future re-development on South side and further east of intersection over time

##### **CONS**

- Not yet connected to town (but will be with completion of pathways in development)
- Must be visually appealing as the entrance to Lyons (not a “con” but risk factor)

#### **LOUKONEN (B) “Stone Yard”**

##### **PROS**

- Has strong commercial AND residential (mixed use) potential (all housing types, Affordable, Attainable, Market Rate)
- Prime access to roads (i.e. close to mass transit, easy access to essential services, etc.)
- “Green Field” development opportunity (per Bowen) – easiest and most economical area for development
- Close (easy) access to utilities, within blue-line, - Sewer connection would have to be over (under) river (?)
- Health & Safety - Outside floodplain (safe), lower fire risk (but high risk to west), easy access/egress (evacuation), easy access to social services
- Wildlife – limited impact on wildlife corridor due to topography of the land behind (cliff to south)
- Does not impact sight lines
- Large area for scalable development (more financially feasible)

##### **CONS**

- Could be very costly property (due to property value and potential clean-up cost)

# Town of Lyons, IGA TASK FORCE

- Borders on wildlife corridor to the south (above cliff face)

## **LOUKONEN (A) Near Summit Development**

### **PROS**

- Close to Summit Development (could be extension in theory)
- Could work as small single unit PUD (planned unit development)

### **CONS**

- Access/Egress: No access easement for roadways (but could be a single parcel PUD), no secondary egress. Driveway access route between two homes (which are approx. 30 feet apart)
- Very high fire risk area (to west and south)
- Within flood plain zone
- Parcel size (approx. 2.5 acre) is small scale development in proven costly development areas (as learned from Summit development)

## **HAWKINS**

### **PROS**

- Has reasonable commercial AND/OR residential (mixed use) potential
- Prime access (i.e. close to mass transit, easy access to essential services, schools, etc.)
- Close (easy) access to utilities, within blue-line, etc.
- Health & Safety - Outside floodplain (safe), moderate fire risk, easy access (POOR Egress)
- Does not impact sight lines (although is important as visual entrance to town)
- Could improve look / feel of the current mix of buildings

### **CONS**

- Wildlife – East side of property impact on wildlife corridor
- Currently a “nuisance flooding” zone – would increase risk and add to storm water run-off issues
- Very dangerous egress from property – would request exit via Stone Canyon
- East side of property may impact critical sight lines (Lookout Mountain hill side)
- Owners expressed lack of desire for annexation based on IGA constraints

## **HARKALIS (“Beehive Property”)**

### **PROS**

- Proximity to town and services

### **CONS**

- Owners are said to have no interest in annexation (?)

# Town of Lyons, IGA TASK FORCE

- Could have visual impact as you enter town (??)
- Very small parcel (approx. 0.25 acre) – development could be costly given scale of property

## CONNOR

### PROS

- Advantages for Owners of land

### CONS

- Wildlife corridor – one of two prime wildlife corridors on north side of Lyons. Critical and environmental sensitive land (see various public reports on need to preserve steamboat valley)
- Access/Egress – Very difficult and costly access and egress options significantly impacting surrounding neighborhoods. 5<sup>th</sup> ave. already a risk factor for evacuation.
- Eliminates the designed natural buffer between town and rural Lyons (as per IGA and Comp Plan)
- Well above Blue Line (water huge issue)
- Health & Safety
  - Highest area for Fire Risk – with challenging access/egress – compounding risk that already exists in Steamboat Valley Area
  - Significant storm water runoff risk today will increase with development
- Slope lines well above max (25%) allowed by town ordinance (and significantly higher that appropriate for Affordable housing). Very difficult to build on this land and would require significant re-shaping of land (cost and environmental impact).
- Very expensive land and extreme development cost to create site infrastructure.
- Over 1 Mile from town (+250 ft elevation) along rural neighborhood without sidewalks or streetlights
- No easy access to essential services: Over 2 miles to school, (not on bus route), no postage delivery,
- Development would add to light pollution (against “dark sky” goals of Lyons)

## CARPENTER

### PROS

- Advantages to owner
- Close distance to utility

### CONS

- Access/Egress –access and egress options significantly impacting surrounding neighborhoods. 5<sup>th</sup> ave. already a risk factor for evacuation.
- Well above Blue Line (water huge issue)

## Town of Lyons, IGA TASK FORCE

- Health & Safety -- Highest area for Fire Risk – with challenging access/egress – compounding risk that already exists in Steamboat Valley Area
- Approx. 1 Mile from town (approx. +250 ft elevation) along rural neighborhood without streetlights
- No easy access to essential services: Over 2 miles to school, (not on bus route), no postage delivery,
- High slope-line make development difficult
- Significant storm-water run-off area (currently large holding pond for one home), would be greatly exacerbated with more roof-top impacting lower 5<sup>th</sup> ave homes

### **BOONE**

#### **PROS**

- Has potential for either Residential or Commercial development (commercial with limited water needs most viable)
- Relative proximity to town better than other options

#### **CONS**

- Extreme development cost to create site infrastructure due to rock shelf in proposed development areas
- Access/Egress – Very difficult and costly access and egress options significantly impacting surrounding neighborhoods. 5<sup>th</sup> ave. already a risk factor for evacuation.
- Well above Blue Line (water huge issue)
- Health & Safety
  - Highest area for Fire Risk – with challenging access/egress – compounding risk that already exists in Steamboat Valley Area
  - Significant storm water runoff risk today will increase with development
- Not easy (walking) access to town, not on bus route, no postal delivery.
- Impact on 5<sup>th</sup> ave traffic significant
- Development would add to light pollution (against “dark sky” goals of Lyons)
- Very dangerous lands around development zone with risk factors associated with quarry areas (pools, etc).

### **XXXX STEAMBOAT VALLEY (Changed on map, not mentioned in text of IGA)**

#### **CONS**

- The owner has no interest in changing from Rural Preservation and does not know why his property was changed as NO conversations or outreach was made by town staff or BoT during the draft IGA process.

**Action:** Remove this parcel from **the map**.